

HENRY C. QUERY, JR.
Patent Attorney At Law

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
NOV 17 2004

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO:	FROM:
Examiner Joseph A. Dillon, Jr.	Henry C. Query, Jr.
COMPANY:	DATE:
USPTO – Group Art Unit 3651	November 17, 2004
FAX NUMBER:	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:
703-872-9327	3
SUBJECT:	
U.S. Patent Application No. 10/734,879	
Inventor(s): Baird et al.	
Filed: 12/12/2003	
For: Conveying Apparatus with Piezoelectric Driver	
Attorney Docket No.: FMCE-P115	

THIS TRANSMITTAL IS INTENDED FOR DELIVERY ONLY TO THE PERSONS LISTED ABOVE. IT MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR PRIVILEGED INFORMATION, THE DISCLOSURE OF WHICH IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS TRANSMITTAL IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE TO ARRANGE FOR THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Dear Examiner Dillon:

Enclosed in connection with the above-referenced application is a Response to Examiner's Amendment, which addresses the Examiners amendment that appears in the Notice of Allowability dated November 2, 2004.


Sincerely,



Henry C. Query, Jr.

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence, consisting of 3 total pages, is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on November 17, 2004.


Henry C. Query, Jr.

504 S. Pierce Avenue, Wheaton, IL 60187 Phone: (630) 260-8093 Fax: (630) 260-8076

Attorney Docket No.: FMCE-P115

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
NOV 17 2004

In re Application of: Baird et al.)
Serial No.: 10/734,879) Group Art Unit: 3651
Filed: 12/12/2003) Examiner: J. Dillon, Jr.
For: Conveying Apparatus with)
Piezoelectric Driver)

Henry C. Query, Jr.
504 S. Pierce Ave.
Wheaton, IL 60187

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to Examiner's Amendment

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner's amendment set forth in the Notice of Allowability dated November 2, 2004 be reversed.

In the Examiner's amendment, the Examiner amended this application by replacing the word "base" in line 4 of claim 2 with the word --support-. However, base is actually the correct word.

Claim 2 requires that the support structure comprise an isolation spring between the proximal end of the conveying bed and a static *base* structure, and a rigid support member between the distal end of the conveying bed and the static *base* structure. This language is consistent with the description, and in particular page 5, lines 7-18.

Therefore, applicants submit that the original version of claim 2 is correct. Accordingly, applicants request that the Examiner's amendment be reversed.

Attorney Docket No.: FMCE-P115

Respectfully submitted,



Date: November 17, 2004

Henry C. Query, Jr.
Reg. No. 35,650
(630) 260-8093